Case 1:18-cr-02429-WJ Document 244 Filed 11/20/19 Page 1 of 3 PageID #: 2916

			UNI	TED S	ГАТ						STRICT O TE SHEE		W N	MEXIC	CO				
CR No: 18-2429 WJ U					USA	A vs.:	Be	Benally											
Date: November 20, 2019				Name of Deft:				Darren Benally											
Before the Honorable: Chief District Jud					lge William P. Johnson														
10:28am - 11:53 1:17pm - 1:50p Time In/Out: 2:33pm - 2:49pr			m			То	Total Time in Court (for JS10):):	2 hours 14 minutes							
Clerk: R. Garcia								Court Reporter:				r:	M. Loughran						
AUSA: Raquel Ruiz-Ve				elez			Defendant's Counsel:				1:	Amy Sirignano							
,	Sente	ncing in:	Albuquei	rque, N	M			Interpreter:				r:	Esther Yazzie						
Pro	bation	o Officer:	Jason Hu	nt and	Shaun Ward			Interpreter Sworn?				n?	X Ye	es			No		
	Conv	cted on:	X Plea		Verdict			As	to:	to: X Information						Indictn	nent		
If Plea: X Accepted				epted	Not Accepted			A	Adjudged/Found Guilty on Counts:										
If	Plea A	greement:	X Acce	epted	Not Accepted				No Plea Agreement Comm					ents:					
Date	of Plea	/Verdict:	7/22/20	019	PSI	R:	Not I	Dispu	ted	X	Disputed	X	Cou	ırts ador	ots PS	SR Findin	ıgs		
Evide	entiary	Hrg:	X Not Nee	ded	1	Needed	E	xcept	ions to) PSR	:								
	SE	NTENC	E IMPOSI	ED		Impris	sonmer	nt (B	OP):	30) months								
Supe	ervise	d Releas	e: 3 year	·s								Pro	bati	ion:					
REG		500-H	our Drug Pro	gram		ВОР	Sex Off	fende	r Prog	ram	Other:								
ICI	3	Court	recommends	ICE beg	in rer	noval pro	ceeding	s imn	nediate	ely or	during service	e of se	ntenc	ce		ICE n	ot ap	pplicable	
					SPE	CIAL	CONI	ITI	ONS	OF	SUPERVI	SION	1						
	No re-entry without legal authorization						Home confinement for months days												
	Comply with ICE laws and regulation					ner.	Community service for months days												
X	Participate in an initial substance abuse testing; if negative, per paragraph 63 of PSR, no substance abuse treatment will be required.)E1	Reside halfway house months days											
	Participate in/successfully complete mental health program						Register as sex offender												
X	Refrain from use/possession of alcohol/intoxicants X Submit to search of person/property						Participate in sex offender treatment program Possess no sexual material												
	No co	ntact with	victim(s) fam		bers;	does not	apply to)											
X		idant's fan	•	riotim's	rosida	nnaa			No computer with access to online services No contact with children under 18 years										
	No entering or loitering near victim's residence Provide financial information						No volunteering where children supervised												
	Grant limited waiver of confidentiality						Restricted from occupation with access to children												
	Refrain from use and possession of synthetic cannabinoids, etc.																		
No possession of a firearm, ammunition, destructive device or other dangerous weapon					or any	Participate in an educational or vocational program approved by the Probation Officer													
				•		•			•		nplete a cor	nmun	ity-l	based p	prog	ram wh	ich j	provides	
	OTH	E R :				ining in ete 80 h					service duri	ng yo	ur te	erm of	supe	ervised	rele	ase	
														2,535.4 \$2,057 to be prinstalling the detay whicher waives	42; § 7.92 baid ment fend ever s any	to C.T. in full, of ts of \$1 ant's m is great	to C Reroor m 00 o onth ter.	CVRC; restitution is nonthly or 10% of nly income, The Court	
Fine		,	community	service	e ım	posed)					Restitut					amount	i.		
SPA	\ : \$	100.0	0						Pa	ayme	nt Schedule	: X	D	ue Imn	nedia	itely		Waived	

ГО	OTHER:						
	Advised of Right to Appeal	X	Waived Appeal Rights per Plea Agreement				
X	Held in Custody		Voluntary Surrender				
v	Recommended place(s) of incarceration: FCI Tucson, AZ.						
Α	Dismissed Counts:						

Ms. Sirignano advises PSR reviewed with client.

The Court asks if the parties will have witness allocution or testimony.

Mr. Ruiz advises she will have one to allocate.

Ms. Sirignano advises she would like to have witness Dino Benally present testimony that will go to her client's objections; explains Dino Benally will provide both testimony and victim allocution.

Ms. Ruiz would object to victim allocution by Dino Benally.

The Court has no objection re testimony re vulnerable victim objection; but not for victim allocution.

Ms. Sirignano responds.

The Court will allow it; but, will see what weight to give it.

Ms. Ruiz replies; clarifies that the family designated Tanisha Tyler as the family spokesperson;

Mr. Ruiz cites the statute re victim allocution.

The Court questions Ms. Ruiz re family spokesperson.

The Court questions Ms. Sirignano re relation of Dino Benally to victim.

The Court asks Mr. Ruiz to make argument why Dino Benally does not have standing to allocate.

Ms. Ruiz responds; notes the family has chosen their spokesperson Tanisha Tyler.

Ms. Sirignano responds why the Court should overrule the Government's objection.

The Court will sustain the Government's objection and will only allow testimony by Dino Benally as to vulnerable victim enhancement.

Ms. Tanisha Tyler presents victim allocution to the Court.

The Court will take up Ms. Sirignano's other objections from Doc. 236.

The Court hears argument from counsel re objections to PSR:

Objection Page One re who is counsel of record – Court notes change made in Probation's addendum.

Objection to paragraphs 1 - 7 – Court overrules

Objection to paragraphs 9 through 20 – Court overrules

Objection re restitution (amount for T-Shirts), no objection to CVRC amount – Court reserve ruling.

Objection to Base OL level computation based on criminally negligent conduct; Court overrules objection.

Objection to paragraph 38 re denial of third point for acceptance of responsibility – Court takes under advisement.

Mr. Ruiz argues in support of the Government's objection to the PSR; argues that the vulnerable victim enhancement should be applied; offers Exhibits 1 (Medical Report Bates #618) and 2 (NCIC Report Bates #169, 170, 171, 172, 173); without objection, the Court admits Exhibits 1 and 2.

Court breaks for lunch.

Court back in session.

Ms. Sirignano responds in opposition to the Government's objection/request for application of vulnerable victim enhancement.

Ms. Ruiz replies.

The Court returns to Ms. Sirignano's objection re third point for acceptance of responsibility; cites 6th Circuit case law USA v. Capozzi; Court overrules Defendant's objection and finds the 2 points for acceptance of responsibility was properly applied.

The Court inquires re counsels' calculation of guidelines with and without vulnerable victim enhancement; with application of vulnerable victim enhancement, Ms. Ruiz believes OL should be 18, CHC I, resulting in a sentencing range of 27 to 33 months; without application of the vulnerable victim enhancement, Ms. Sirignano believes OL should be 16, CHC I, resulting in a sentencing range of 21 to 27 months.

OTHER COMMENTS:

Mr. Ruiz requests a sentence at the high end of what the Court determines the final guideline

Rev. November 10, 2015

Case 1:18-cr-02429-WJ Document 244 Filed 11/20/19 Page 3 of 3 PageID #: 2918

sentencing range to be; requests a supervision term of 3 years; concurs with restitution amount of \$2,535.42, and \$100 SPA.

Defendant addresses the Court.

Ms. Sirignano addresses the Court; notes for the record, her objection to the Court ruling redenial of application of the third point for acceptance of responsibility; argues for sentence at the low end of what counsel believes should be a guideline range of 21 to 27 months.

The Court will take a short to consider its ruling and sentence in this matter.

Court back in session.

The Court reviews Defendant's history and characteristics re anger issues.

The Court cites 10th Circuit case of USA v. Talk; sustains the Government's objection and finds the vulnerable victim enhancement is applicable; finds OL to be 18, CHC I, sentencing range of 27 to 33 months.

With regard to the T-Shirts for the funeral, the Court finds them to be a reasonable expense for inclusion in total funeral restitution amount.